\*\*E-filed 5/30/07\*\*

```
1
    KABATECK BROWN KELLNER LLP
    BRIAN S. KABATECK, SBN 152054 (bsk@kbklawyers.com)
2
     644 South Figueroa Street
    Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 217-5000
Facsimile: (213) 217-5010
3
4
     COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY
    NIALL P. McCARTHY, SBN 160175 (nmccarthy@cpmlegal.com)
5
     840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
6
    Burlingame, California 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
7
    Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
8
    THE GARCIA LAW FIRM
    STEPHEN M. GARCIA, SBN 123338 (sgarcia@lawgarcia.com)
9.
    1 World Trade Center #1950
    Long Beach, California 90831
10
    Telephone: (562) 216-5270 Facsimile: (562) 216-5271
11
    MCNICHOLAS & MCNICHOLAS
12
    PATRICK MCNICHOLAS, SBN 125868 (pmc@mcnicholaslaw.com)
    10866 Wilshire Boulevard, #1400
13
    Los Angeles, California 90024
    Telephone: (310) 474-1582
14
    Facsimile: (310) 475-7871
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs.
16
                           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
\cdot 17
                        NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18
    CARL K. RICH, and DAVID DURAN,
                                             CASE NO. C-06-03361-JF (HRL)
19
    on Behalf of Themselves and All Others
    Similarly Situated, as Well as on Behalf
20
    of the General Public and Acting in the
                                             STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
21
    Public Interest.
                                             ORDER TO CONTINUE THE
                                             DEADLINE TO COMPLETE THE
22
                                             ADR PROCESS
23
                 Plaintiffs,
                                             [N.D. Cal. Local Rule 6-1(b)]
24
                 vs.
25
    HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a
26
    California Corporation; and DOES 1
    through 250, inclusive;
27
28
                 Defendants.
       STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE THE ADR PROCESS
                                         CV-06-03361-JF (HRL)
```

1 Through this Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Plaintiffs Carl Rich and David 2 Duran ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company ("Defendant") agree to continue 3 the deadline to complete the ADR process to and including July 13, 2007. The parties hereby 4 request that the Court approve this extension pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(b). 5 6 **JOINT STIPULATION** 7 WHEREAS the parties previously filed their stipulation selecting an ADR process 8 pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5; 9 WHEREAS the parties' stipulation provided for private, non-binding mediation to be completed by February 28, 2007; 11 WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(b), the parties' subsequent stipulation provided 12 for a continuation of the ADR completion deadline for ninety days, to and including May 29, 13 2007; WHEREAS, despite their diligent efforts, it took the parties longer than expected to agree 14 upon a mediator for this case; 15 16 WHEREAS the parties have now selected the Hon. James L. Warren (Ret.) to serve as 17 the mediator for this action; 18 WHEREAS the parties are presently in the process of scheduling a mediation date before 19 the Hon. James L. Warren (Ret.) for late June or early July; 20 21 22 23 24 25 111 26 111 27 111 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE THE ADR PROCESS

CV-06-03361-JF (HRL)

1 ACCORDINGLY, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(b), the parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate to, and request the Court's approval of an extension of the 2 3 deadline to complete the ADR process to and including July 13, 2007. 4 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 7 DATED: May 29, 2007 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 8 9  $By_{\perp}$ SAMUEL G. LIVER'SIDGE 10 Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company 11 12 13 DATED: May 29, 2007 KABATECK BROWN KELLNER LLP 14 15 By BRIAN S. KABATECK 16 RICHARD L. KELLNER 17 Counsel for Plaintiffs and the class 18 COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY 19 NIALL P. McCARTHY Counsel for Plaintiffs and the class 20 THE GARCIA LAW FIRM 21 STEPHEN M. GARCIA 22 Counsel for Plaintiffs and the class 23 **MCNICHOLAS & MCNICHOLAS** 24 PATRICK MCNICHOLAS Counsel for Plaintiffs and the class 25 26 27 28

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the deadline to complete private non-binding
3	mediation in the above-captioned matter is hereby continued to July 13, 2007.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	5/30/07
7	DATED: 5/30/07 THE HONORABLE JI REMY FOGEL
8	UNITED STATES DIS FRICT JUDGE
9	·
10	·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	— 4 — STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE THE ADR PROCESS
	A SECTION AND A MOTOGER TO CONTINUE THE DEADLINE TO CONTRIBETE THE ADR PROCESS

CV-06-03361-JF (HRL)

## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 644 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017.

On May 29, 2007, I served the foregoing document described as: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE THE ADR PROCESS on the interested parties in this action:

## SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

- [X] VIA U.S. MAIL I deposited such envelope(s) with the United States Postal Service, enclosed in a sealed envelope, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service where it would be deposited for first class delivery, postage fully prepared, in the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service.
- [ ] VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION by use of facsimile machine, I served a copy of the document(s) on interested parties by transmitting by facsimile machine to said interested party. The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, rule 2002, and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2006(d), I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this declaration FAX No. (213) 217-5010 to the FAX number(s) listed next to such interested party. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine.
- [ ] (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- [X] FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing document(s) were printed on recycled paper and that this Certification of Service was executed by me on June 21, 2006, at Los Angeles, California.

Executed on May 29, 2007, at Los Angeles, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

RMA DÉP

## SERVICE LIST

1	
2	Peter Sullivan, Esq.
. 3	Samuel G. Liversidge, Esq. Christopher Chorba, Esq.
4	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
5	333 S. Grand Avenue
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Tel: (213) 229-7000
7	Fax: (213) 229-7520
8	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Company
9	Datrials MaNighalas Ess
10	Patrick McNicholas, Esq. McNicholas & McNicholas
11	10866 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400
12	Los Angeles, CA 90024 Tel: (310) 474-1582
13	Fax: (310) 475-7871
14	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
15	Stephen M. Garcia, Esq.
16	Law Office of Stephen M. Garcia
17	One World Trade Center, Suite 1950
18	Long Beach, CA 90331 Tel: (562) 216-5270
19	Fax: (562) 216-5271
20	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
21	Catabatt Ditus & MaCanthy
22	Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy Niall P. McCarthy, Esq.
23	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
24	Burlingame, CA 94010 Tel: (650) 697-6000
25	Fax: (650) 697-0577
26	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
27	
28	